

Consistency of the Nonsymmetric Gravitational Theory

J. W. Moffat

Department of Physics

University of Toronto

Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A7

Canada

October 1994

UTPT-94-30. gr-qc/9411027

e-mail: moffat@medb.physics.utoronto.ca

Consistency of the Nonsymmetric Gravitational Theory

J. W. Moffat

Department of Physics

University of Toronto

Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A7

Canada

Abstract

A nonsymmetric gravitational theory (NGT) is presented which is free of ghost poles, tachyons and higher-order poles and there are no problems with asymptotic boundary conditions. An extended Birkhoff theorem is shown to hold for the spherically symmetric solution of the field equations. A static spherically symmetric solution in the short-range approximation, $\mu^{-1} > 2m$, is everywhere regular and does not contain a black hole event horizon.

1. Introduction

An earlier version of the nonsymmetric gravitational theory (NGT)¹, based on a nonsymmetric field structure with the decompositions²:

$$g_{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu} + g_{\nu\mu}), \quad g_{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\nu\mu}), \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \Gamma_{(\mu\nu)}^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^{\lambda}, \quad (1.2)$$

was confronted with consistency problems due to the absence of a massless gauge invariance in the antisymmetric sector of the theory. The only gauge invariance was associated with the general covariance of the theory. Attempts to derive a perturbative NGT scenario free of couplings to unphysical modes and with consistent asymptotic boundary conditions proved to be a difficult (if not impossible) task^{3,4}.

In the following, a version of NGT is presented which will be shown to be free of ghosts, tachyons and higher-order poles in the propagator in the linear approximation⁵. An expansion of $g_{\mu\nu}$ about an arbitrary Einstein background metric also yields field equations to first order in $g_{[\mu\nu]}$, which are free of couplings to unphysical (negative energy) modes; the solutions of the field equations have consistent asymptotic boundary conditions. This is accomplished by adding two cosmological terms to the earlier version of the NGT Lagrangian density.

In view of the difficulty in obtaining physically consistent geometrical generalizations of Einstein gravitational theory (EGT), it is interesting that such a consistent theory can be formulated. For example, higher derivative (higher powers of the scalar curvature R) theories possess ghost poles, tachyons and higher-order poles in the linear approximation⁶.

The static spherically symmetric solution of the NGT field equations is shown to satisfy a Birkhoff theorem. A static spherically symmetric solution in the short-range approximation, $\mu^{-1} > 2m$, is everywhere regular and does not contain a black hole event horizon⁷.

2. Nonsymmetric Gravitational Theory

The non-Riemannian geometry is based on the nonsymmetric field structure with a nonsymmetric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and affine connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$, defined in Eqs.(1.1) and (1.2). The contravariant tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$ is defined in terms of the equation:

$$g^{\mu\nu} g_{\sigma\nu} = g^{\nu\mu} g_{\nu\sigma} = \delta_\sigma^\mu. \quad (2.1)$$

The Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{NGT} = \mathcal{L}_R + \mathcal{L}_M, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_R = \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}(W) - 2\lambda\sqrt{-g} - \frac{1}{4}\mu^2 \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} g_{[\nu\mu]} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} W_\mu W_\nu, \quad (2.3)$$

where λ is the cosmological constant and μ^2 and σ are additional cosmological constants associated with $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ and W_μ , respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_M$ is the matter Lagrangian density ($G = c = 1$):

$$\mathcal{L}_M = -8\pi g^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{T}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here, $\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}(W)$ is the NGT contracted curvature tensor:

$$R_{\mu\nu}(W) = W_{\mu\nu,\beta}^\beta - \frac{1}{2}(W_{\mu\beta,\nu}^\beta + W_{\nu\beta,\mu}^\beta) - W_{\alpha\nu}^\beta W_{\mu\beta}^\alpha + W_{\alpha\beta}^\beta W_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \quad (2.5)$$

defined in terms of the unconstrained nonsymmetric connection:

$$W_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - \frac{2}{3}\delta_\mu^\lambda W_\nu, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$W_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(W_{\mu\lambda}^\lambda - W_{\lambda\mu}^\lambda). \quad (2.7)$$

Eq.(2.6) leads to the result:

$$\Gamma_\mu = \Gamma_{[\mu\lambda]}^\lambda = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{T}_{\mu\nu}$ is the matter source density tensor.

The NGT contracted curvature tensor can be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu}(W) = R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) + \frac{2}{3}W_{[\mu,\nu]}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ is defined by

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = \Gamma_{\mu\nu,\beta}^\beta - \frac{1}{2} \left(\Gamma_{(\mu\beta),\nu}^\beta + \Gamma_{(\nu\beta),\mu}^\beta \right) - \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\beta \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha + \Gamma_{(\alpha\beta)}^\beta \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha. \quad (2.10)$$

The field equations in the presence of matter sources are given by:

$$G_{\mu\nu}(W) + \lambda g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\mu^2 C_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(P_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}P) = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\mathbf{g}^{[\mu\nu]}_{,\nu} = 3\mathbf{D}^\mu, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}_{,\sigma} + \mathbf{g}^{\rho\nu}W_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \mathbf{g}^{\mu\rho}W_{\sigma\rho}^\nu - \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}W_{\sigma\rho}^\rho + \frac{2}{3}\delta_\sigma^\nu \mathbf{g}^{\mu\rho}W_{[\rho\beta]}^\beta \\ & + \mathbf{D}^\nu \delta_\sigma^\mu - \mathbf{D}^\mu \delta_\sigma^\nu = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Here, we have

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R, \quad (2.14)$$

$$C_{\mu\nu} = g_{[\mu\nu]} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{[\sigma\rho]}g_{[\rho\sigma]} + g^{[\sigma\rho]}g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\rho\nu}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$P_{\mu\nu} = W_\mu W_\nu, \quad (2.16)$$

and $P = g^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} = g^{(\mu\nu)} W_\mu W_\nu$. Also, we have

$$\mathbf{D}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \mathbf{g}^{(\mu\alpha)} W_\alpha, \quad (2.17)$$

and from (2.12), it follows that $\mathbf{D}^\mu_{,\mu} = 0$.

We can write the field equations (2.11) in the form:

$$R_{\mu\nu}(W) = \lambda g_{\mu\nu} + 8\pi \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T - \frac{1}{32\pi} \mu^2 I_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16\pi} \sigma P_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.19)$$

and $T = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}$. Moreover, we have

$$I_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} C = g^{[\sigma\rho]} g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\rho\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g_{[\sigma\rho]} g^{[\sigma\rho]} + g_{[\mu\nu]}. \quad (2.20)$$

In empty space, the field equations (2.18) become:

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = \frac{2}{3} W_{[\nu,\mu]} + \lambda g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 I_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma P_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.21)$$

Substituting (2.6) into (2.13), we get

$$\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}_{,\sigma} + \mathbf{g}^{\rho\nu} \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu + \mathbf{g}^{\mu\rho} \Gamma_{\sigma\rho}^\nu - \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{(\sigma\rho)}^\rho + \mathbf{D}^\nu \delta_\sigma^\mu - \mathbf{D}^\mu \delta_\sigma^\nu = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

This equation may be written in the form:

$$g_{\mu\nu,\sigma} - g_{\rho\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\rho - g_{\mu\rho} \Gamma_{\sigma\nu}^\rho = D^\rho \Lambda_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}, \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} = g_{\mu\rho} g_{\sigma\nu} - g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\rho\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} g_{[\sigma\rho]}, \quad (2.24)$$

and we have:

$$\sqrt{-g}_{,\sigma} - \sqrt{-g}\Gamma_{(\sigma\rho)}^\rho = \sqrt{-g}g_{[\rho\sigma]}D^\rho. \quad (2.25)$$

The generalized Bianchi identities:

$$[\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\nu}G_{\rho\nu}(\Gamma) + \mathbf{g}^{\nu\alpha}G_{\nu\rho}(\Gamma)]_{,\alpha} + g^{\mu\nu}_{,\rho}\mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (2.26)$$

give rise to the matter response equations:

$$g_{\mu\rho}\mathbf{T}^{\mu\nu}_{,\nu} + g_{\rho\mu}\mathbf{T}^{\nu\mu}_{,\nu} + (g_{\mu\rho,\nu} + g_{\rho\nu,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\rho})\mathbf{T}^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

3. Linear Approximation

Let us assume that $\lambda = 0$ and expand $g_{\mu\nu}$ about Minkowski spacetime:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + {}^{(1)}h_{\mu\nu} + \dots, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric tensor: $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, +1)$. We also expand $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ and $W_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda &= {}^{(1)}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + {}^{(2)}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + \dots, \\ W_\mu &= {}^{(1)}W_\mu + {}^{(2)}W_\mu + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We find from (2.1) that

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - {}^{(1)}h^{\mu\nu} + \dots, \quad (3.3)$$

where $h^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\lambda}\eta^{\sigma\nu}h_{\sigma\lambda}$. Let us adopt the notation: $\psi_{\mu\nu} = {}^{(1)}h_{[\mu\nu]}$. To first order of approximation, Eq.(2.12) gives

$$\psi_\mu = \frac{3}{2}\sigma W_\mu, \quad (3.4)$$

where for convenience W_μ denotes ${}^{(1)}W_\mu$. Moreover,

$$\psi_\mu = \psi_{\mu\beta}{}^{,\beta} = \eta^{\beta\sigma} \psi_{\mu\beta,\sigma}. \quad (3.5)$$

From Eqs.(2.23), we obtain the result to first order:

$${}^{(1)}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}({}^{(1)}h_{\sigma\nu,\mu} + {}^{(1)}h_{\mu\sigma,\nu} - {}^{(1)}h_{\nu\mu,\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\delta_\mu^\lambda W_\nu - \delta_\nu^\lambda W_\mu). \quad (3.6)$$

The antisymmetric and symmetric field equations derived from Eq.(2.11) decouple to lowest order; the symmetric equations are the usual Einstein field equations in the linear approximation. The skew equations are given by

$$(\square + \mu^2)\psi_{\mu\nu} = \kappa W_{[\nu,\mu]} + 16\pi T_{[\mu\nu]}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\kappa = \sigma + \frac{4}{3}$. For $\sigma = \mu = 0$, Eq.(3.7) reduces to the linearized antisymmetric equations of the earlier version of NGT¹:

$$\square \psi_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{3}W_{[\nu,\mu]} + 16\pi T_{[\mu\nu]}. \quad (3.8)$$

If we take the divergence of Eq.(3.7), we get

$$\tau \square W_\mu + \frac{3}{2}\sigma\mu^2 W_\mu = 16\pi T_{[\mu\nu]}{}^{,\nu}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\tau = 2(\sigma + \frac{1}{3})$. Let us choose $\tau = 0$ corresponding to $\sigma = -1/3$ which gives

$$W_\mu = -\frac{32\pi}{\mu^2}T_{[\mu\nu]}{}^{,\nu}. \quad (3.10)$$

Substituting this into (3.8) yields the Proca inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(\square + \mu^2)\psi_{\mu\nu} = J_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$J_{\mu\nu} = 16\pi(T_{[\mu\nu]} + \frac{2}{\mu^2}T_{[[\mu\sigma],\nu]}{}^{,\sigma}). \quad (3.12)$$

Moreover, from Eq.(3.4) we get

$$\psi_\mu = \frac{16\pi}{\mu^2}T_{[\mu\nu]}{}^{,\nu}. \quad (3.13)$$

Taking the divergence of (3.12) gives

$$J_{\mu\nu}{}^{,\nu} = 16\pi(T_{[\mu\nu]}{}^{,\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu^2}\square T_{[\mu\nu]}{}^{,\nu}). \quad (3.14)$$

Taking the divergence of the left-hand-side of (3.11) and using (3.13) yields the same as (3.14).

In the wave-zone, $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, and Eqs.(3.11) and (3.13) become

$$(\square + \mu^2)\psi_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\psi_\mu = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

These equations can be obtained from the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = \frac{1}{4}\psi_{\mu\nu,\lambda}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_\mu^2 - \frac{1}{4}\mu^2\psi_{\mu\nu}^2. \quad (3.17)$$

The $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ has the spin decomposition:

$$\psi_{\mu\nu} = 1_b \oplus 1_e, \quad (3.18)$$

where 1_b and 1_e denote the magnetic and electric vectors, respectively. Only the magnetic vector propagates corresponding to a massive spin 1^+ pseudovector field with the propagator:

$$\Pi = \frac{P_b^1}{k^2 - \mu^2}, \quad (3.19)$$

where P_b^1 is the magnetic projection operator defined by

$$P_b^1 = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{\mu\rho}\theta_{\nu\sigma} - \theta_{\mu\sigma}\theta_{\nu\rho}), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\theta_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2 - \mu^2}, \quad (3.21)$$

and k_μ denotes the momentum four vector. The Lagrangian (3.17) is free of ghosts, tachyons and higher-order poles⁸ and the Hamiltonian is positive and bounded from below.

The parameter σ is fixed to be $\sigma = -1/3$ by the physical requirement that the weak field linear approximation of the NGT field equations is free of ghosts, tachyons and higher-order poles in the propagator. This guarantees that the physical vacuum in the theory is stable.

As shown by van Nieuwenhuizen⁸, there exist in flat Minkowski spacetime only two physically consistent Lagrangians for the antisymmetric potential field $\psi_{\mu\nu}$, which are free of ghosts, tachyons and higher-order poles in the propagator, namely, the massless gauge invariant theory, and the massive Proca-type theory with the Lagrangian (3.17). Since NGT does not possess a massless gauge invariance, then the only other possibility is that it should reduce to the physical, massive Proca-type theory. We have, in fact, now discovered a fully geometrical NGT scheme that fulfils this requirement.

4. Expansion of the Field Equations Around a Curved Background

Let us now consider the expansion of the field equations around an arbitrary Einstein background metric. We shall introduce the notation: $g_{[\mu\nu]} = a_{\mu\nu}$. We have

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{S\mu\nu} + {}^{(1)}g_{\mu\nu} + \dots, \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \Gamma_{S\mu\nu}^\lambda + {}^{(1)}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + \dots, \quad W_\mu = {}^{(1)}W_\mu + {}^{(2)}W_\mu + \dots, \quad (4.1)$$

where $g_{S\mu\nu}$ and $\Gamma_{S\mu\nu}^\lambda$ denote the Einstein background metric tensor and connection, respectively. We also introduce the notation for the Riemann tensor:

$$B^\sigma{}_{\mu\nu\rho} = \Gamma_{S\mu\nu,\rho}^\sigma - \Gamma_{S\mu\rho,\nu}^\sigma - \Gamma_{S\alpha\nu}^\sigma \Gamma_{S\mu\rho}^\alpha + \Gamma_{S\alpha\rho}^\sigma \Gamma_{S\mu\nu}^\alpha. \quad (4.2)$$

By performing the contraction on the suffixes σ and ρ , we get the Ricci tensor, $B_{\mu\nu} = B^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu\alpha}$.

Solving for the antisymmetric part of $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ to first order in $a_{\mu\nu}$ gives:

$${}^{(1)}\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g_S^{\lambda\sigma}(\nabla_\mu a_{\sigma\nu} + \nabla_\nu a_{\mu\sigma} + \nabla_\sigma a_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\delta_\mu^\lambda {}^{(1)}W_\nu - \delta_\nu^\lambda {}^{(1)}W_\mu). \quad (4.3)$$

Substituting this into the field equations (2.20) ($\lambda = 0$), we get to first order in $a_{\mu\nu}$ and W_μ (we denote ${}^{(1)}W_\mu$ by W_μ and ${}^{(1)}a_{\mu\nu}$ by $a_{\mu\nu}$):

$$B_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\nabla^\sigma a_{\mu\sigma} = \frac{3}{2}\sigma W_\mu, \quad (4.5)$$

$$(\Box_S + \mu^2)a_{\mu\nu} - 2g_S^{\lambda\sigma}g_S^{\alpha\beta}B_{\alpha\nu\lambda\mu}a_{\sigma\beta} = \kappa\nabla_{[\mu}W_{\nu]}, \quad (4.6)$$

where, as in the previous section, $\kappa = \sigma + \frac{4}{3}$. Moreover, we have $\Box_S = \nabla^\sigma \nabla_\sigma$, $\nabla^\sigma = g_S^{\sigma\alpha} \nabla_\alpha$ and:

$$g_S^{\lambda\alpha}g_{S\beta\lambda} = \delta_\beta^\alpha. \quad (4.7)$$

We also employed the result:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^\sigma \nabla_\mu a_{\sigma\nu} &= \nabla_\mu \nabla^\sigma a_{\sigma\nu} - g_S^{\lambda\sigma}g_S^{\alpha\beta}(B_{\alpha\sigma\lambda\mu}a_{\beta\nu} + B_{\alpha\nu\lambda\mu}a_{\sigma\beta}) \\ &= \nabla_\mu \nabla^\sigma a_{\sigma\nu} - g_S^{\lambda\sigma}g_S^{\alpha\beta}B_{\alpha\nu\lambda\mu}a_{\sigma\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where in the last line we used Eq.(4.4).

Taking the divergence of (4.6), we get

$$\tau \square_S W_\mu - 2\nabla^\nu (g_S^{\lambda\sigma} g_S^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\nu\lambda\mu} a_{\sigma\beta}) + \frac{3}{2}\sigma\mu^2 W_\mu + \nabla^\nu (Ba)_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\nabla^\nu \square_S a_{\mu\nu} = \square_S \nabla^\nu a_{\mu\nu} + \nabla^\nu (Ba)_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.10)$$

and $\tau = 2(\sigma + \frac{1}{3})$. Also, $(Ba)_{\mu\nu}$ denotes additional terms involving products of the Riemann tensor and $a_{\mu\nu}$. We choose $\tau = 0$ to give

$$W_\mu = -\frac{1}{\mu^2} \left[\nabla^\nu \left(4g_S^{\lambda\sigma} g_S^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\nu\lambda\mu} a_{\sigma\beta} - 2(Ba)_{\mu\nu} \right) \right]. \quad (4.11)$$

From (4.5) and (4.11), we get the field equations:

$$\nabla^\sigma a_{\mu\sigma} = \frac{1}{2\mu^2} \left[\nabla^\nu \left(4g_S^{\lambda\sigma} g_S^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\nu\lambda\mu} a_{\sigma\beta} - 2(Ba)_{\mu\nu} \right) \right], \quad (4.12)$$

$$(\square_S + \mu^2) a_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$M_{\mu\nu} = 2g_S^{\lambda\sigma} g_S^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\nu\lambda\mu} a_{\sigma\beta} + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \nabla_{[\nu} \nabla^{\rho} \left[4g_S^{\lambda\sigma} g_S^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\rho\lambda\mu} a_{\sigma\beta} - 2(Ba)_{\mu\rho} \right]. \quad (4.14)$$

As before, W_μ does not propagate and there is no coupling to unphysical modes through the effective source tensor formed from the Riemann tensor and $a_{\mu\nu}$.

The energy associated with the flux of gravitational waves, calculated in the wave-zone for $r \rightarrow \infty$, is positive definite.

5. Time-Dependent Spherically Symmetric Field Equations

In the case of a spherically symmetric field, Papapetrou has derived the canonical form of $g_{\mu\nu}$ in NGT⁹:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & 0 & 0 & w \\ 0 & -\beta & f\sin\theta & 0 \\ 0 & -f\sin\theta & -\beta\sin^2\theta & 0 \\ -w & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

where α, β, γ and w are functions of r and t . The tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$ has the components:

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma}{w^2 - \alpha\gamma} & 0 & 0 & \frac{w}{w^2 - \alpha\gamma} \\ 0 & -\frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + f^2} & \frac{f \csc \theta}{\beta^2 + f^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{f \csc \theta}{\beta^2 + f^2} & -\frac{\beta \csc^2 \theta}{\beta^2 + f^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{w}{w^2 - \alpha\gamma} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\alpha}{w^2 - \alpha\gamma} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2)$$

For the theory in which there is no NGT magnetic monopole charge, we have $w = 0$ and only the $g_{[23]}$ component of $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ survives.

The line element for a spherically symmetric body is given by

$$ds^2 = \gamma(r, t) dt^2 - \alpha(r, t) dr^2 - \beta(r, t) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \quad (5.3)$$

We have

$$\sqrt{-g} = \sin \theta [\alpha \gamma (\beta^2 + f^2)]^{1/2}. \quad (5.4)$$

The vector W_μ can be determined from Eq.(2.12):

$$W_\mu = -2k_{\mu\rho} \mathbf{g}^{[\rho\sigma]}_{,\sigma}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $k_{\mu\nu}$ is defined by $k_{\mu\alpha} g^{(\mu\beta)} = \delta_\alpha^\beta$. For the static spherically symmetric field with $w = 0$ it follows from (5.5) that $W_\mu = 0$.

The field equations (2.20) in empty space are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11}(\Gamma) &= -\frac{1}{2}A'' - \frac{1}{8}[(A')^2 + 4B^2] + \frac{\alpha'A'}{4\alpha} + \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2\alpha} - \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} \right)' + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2\gamma} \right) + \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2\gamma} \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2\gamma} - \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{A} \right) = -\lambda\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\mu^2 \frac{\alpha f^2}{\beta^2 + f^2}, \\ \frac{1}{\beta} R_{22}(\Gamma) &= \frac{1}{\beta} R_{33}(\Gamma) \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta = \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{2fB - \beta A'}{4\alpha} \right)' + \frac{2fB - \beta A'}{8\alpha^2 \beta \gamma} (\alpha' \gamma + \gamma' \alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{B(fA' + 2\beta B)}{4\alpha \beta} - \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{2fD - \beta \dot{A}}{4\gamma} \right) - \frac{2fD - \beta \dot{A}}{8\alpha \gamma^2 \beta} (\dot{\alpha} \gamma + \gamma \dot{\alpha}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

$$-\frac{D}{4\gamma\beta}(f\dot{A} + 2\beta D) = -\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\mu^2 \frac{f^2}{\beta^2 + f^2}, \quad (5.7)$$

$$R_{00}(\Gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}\ddot{A} - \frac{1}{8}(\dot{A}^2 + 4D^2) + \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{4\gamma}\dot{A} + \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2\alpha}\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2\gamma} - \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2\alpha}\right) \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2\alpha}\right) + \left(\frac{\gamma'}{2\alpha}\right)' + \frac{\gamma'}{2\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha'}{2\alpha} - \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}A'\right) = \lambda\gamma - \frac{1}{4}\mu^2 \frac{\gamma f^2}{\beta^2 + f^2}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$R_{[10]}(\Gamma) = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

$$R_{(10)}(\Gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{A}' + \frac{1}{4}A'\left(\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{A}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\gamma'\dot{A}}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}BD = 0, \quad (5.10)$$

$$R_{[23]}(\Gamma) = \sin\theta\left[\left(\frac{fA' + 2\beta B}{4\alpha}\right)' + \frac{1}{8\alpha}(fA' + 2\beta B)\left(\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} + \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma}\right) \right. \\ \left. -\frac{B}{4\alpha}(2fB - \beta A') - \frac{1}{8\gamma}(f\dot{A} + 2\beta D)\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\gamma} + \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha}\right) \right. \\ \left. -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{f\dot{A} + 2\beta D}{4\gamma}\right) + \frac{D}{4\gamma}(2fD - \beta\dot{A})\right] = \left[\lambda f - \frac{1}{4}\mu^2 f\left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{\beta^2 + f^2}\right)\right]\sin\theta. \quad (5.11)$$

Here, prime denotes differentiation with respect to r , $\dot{A} = \partial A / \partial t$, and we have used the notation:

$$A = \ln(\beta^2 + f^2), \quad (5.12)$$

$$B = \frac{f\beta' - \beta f'}{\beta^2 + f^2}, \quad (5.13)$$

$$D = \frac{\dot{\beta}f - \dot{f}\beta}{\beta^2 + f^2}. \quad (5.14)$$

We can rearrange (5.10) to give

$$\dot{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{A'}\left[\frac{1}{2}A'\dot{A} + 2\dot{A}' - \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma}\dot{A} + 2BD\right]. \quad (5.15)$$

Let us choose $\beta = r^2$ and substitute this into (5.15):

$$\dot{\alpha} = \alpha\left(\frac{r^4 + f^2}{2r^3 + ff'}\right)\left[\frac{f'\dot{f}(r^4 - 3f^2)}{(r^4 + f^2)^2} - \frac{8f\dot{f}r^3}{(r^4 + f^2)^2} + \frac{2(f'\dot{f} + f\dot{f}')}{r^4 + f^2} \right. \\ \left. -\left(\frac{\gamma'}{\gamma}\right)\left(\frac{f\dot{f}}{r^4 + f^2}\right)\right]. \quad (5.16)$$

When we choose $f = 0$, we obtain Birkhoff's theorem in EGT i.e., the time-dependent spherically symmetric solution must be stationary and it is the static Schwarzschild solution for $r > 2m$ ¹⁰.

To see whether there exists a Birkhoff theorem for the NGT empty space field equations, we shall consider the asymptotic large r , static approximation of the field equation (5.11) with $\lambda = 0, \beta = r^2$ and $\alpha \sim \gamma \sim 1$:

$$f'' - \frac{2}{r}f' - \mu^2 f = 0. \quad (5.17)$$

This has the solution valid for $\mu m \ll 1$:

$$f = C \exp(-\mu r)(1 + \mu r), \quad (5.18)$$

where C is a constant. To this order of approximation the invariant: $F = g_{[23]}g^{[23]}$ is given by

$$F \sim \text{const.} \exp(-\mu r) \left(\frac{\mu}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right). \quad (5.19)$$

For $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see from (5.15) that $\dot{\alpha}$ vanishes rapidly and no monopole radiation will be observed in the wave-zone for large r . Asymptotically the solution is the static solution of the field equations, yielding an extended Birkhoff theorem.

6. Static Spherically Symmetric Solution

Let us assume the short-range approximation for which the μ^2 contributions in the vacuum field equations can be neglected and we assume that $\mu^{-1} > 2m$. We then obtain the static, spherically symmetric Wyman solution¹¹:

$$\gamma = \exp(\nu), \quad (6.1)$$

$$\alpha = m^2(\nu')^2 \exp(-\nu)(1 + s^2)(\cosh(a\nu) - \cos(b\nu))^{-2}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$f = [2m^2 \exp(-\nu)(\sinh(a\nu)\sin(b\nu) + s(1 - \cosh(a\nu)\cos(b\nu))](\cosh(a\nu) - \cos(b\nu))^{-2}, \quad (6.3)$$

where ν is implicitly determined by the equation:

$$\exp(\nu)(\cosh(a\nu) - \cos(b\nu))^2 \frac{r^2}{2m^2} = \cosh(a\nu)\cos(b\nu) - 1 + s\sinh(a\nu)\sin(b\nu), \quad (6.4)$$

and s is a dimensionless constant of integration.

We find for $2m/r \ll 1$ and $0 < sm^2/r^2 < 1$ that the metric takes the Schwarzschild form:

$$\gamma = \alpha^{-1} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}. \quad (6.5)$$

Near $r = 0$ we can develop expansions where $r/m < 1$ and $0 < |s| < 1$. The leading terms are⁷:

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 + \frac{\gamma_0(1 + \mathcal{O}(s^2))}{2|s|} \left(\frac{r}{m}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{r}{m}\right)^4\right), \quad (6.6)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{4\gamma_0(1 + \mathcal{O}(s^2))}{s^2} \left(\frac{r}{m}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{r}{m}\right)^4\right), \quad (6.7)$$

$$f = m^2 \left(4 - \frac{|s|\pi}{2} + s|s| + \mathcal{O}(s^3)\right) + \frac{|s| + s^2\pi/8 + \mathcal{O}(s^3)}{4} r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^4), \quad (6.8)$$

$$\gamma_0 = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi + 2s}{|s|} + \mathcal{O}(s)\right) \dots \quad (6.9)$$

These solutions clearly illustrate the non-analytic nature of the limit $s \rightarrow 0$ in the strong gravitational field regime for $r < 2m$.

The singularity caused by the vanishing of $\alpha(r)$ at $r = 0$ is a *coordinate* singularity, which can be removed by transforming to another coordinate frame of reference. The curvature invariants do not, of course, contain any coordinate singularities. We can transform to a coordinate frame in which the spacetime $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$ is completely free of singularities.

The NGT curvature invariants such as the generalized Kretschmann scalar:

$$K = R^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} R_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \quad (6.10)$$

are finite⁷.

The static spherically symmetric solution is everywhere non-singular and there is no event horizon at $r = 2m$. A black hole is replaced in the theory by a superdense object which can be stable for an arbitrarily large mass^{7,12}.

A solution of the vacuum field equations remains to be found when the μ^2 contributions are kept in (5.6)-(5.11). It is expected that this solution will retain the non-singular properties of the short-range approximation solution, for the μ^2 contributions will damp out the effects of $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ at large distances.

7. Conclusions

We have succeeded in deriving a geometrical version of NGT, based on the Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g}[R(W) - 2\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\mu^2 g^{\mu\nu} g_{[\nu\mu]} - \frac{1}{6}g^{\mu\nu} W_\mu W_\nu], \quad (7.1)$$

which yields a stable, ghost and tachyon free linear approximation, when the field equations are expanded about Minkowski spacetime or about an arbitrary Einstein background metric.

In the short-range approximation, in which μ^{-1} is large compared to the length scales being investigated, a static spherically symmetric solution can be obtained from the field equations which is regular everywhere in spacetime and which does not contain an event horizon at $r = 2m$. The black hole that is predicted by the collapse of a sufficiently massive star in EGT is replaced by a superdense object that is stable for an arbitrarily large mass.

Attempts must be made to investigate solutions of the field equations valid for any value of the range parameter μ^{-1} , so that the regularity of the solutions can be established, in general. However, since the $g_{[\mu\nu]}$ solutions are damped out for $r \rightarrow \infty$, we do not expect that the regularity properties of the Wyman solution to be modified.

An important result of this study is that a classical theory of gravity can be formulated, which has a physically consistent perturbative expansion for weak fields, and does not have singularities and black holes. It satisfies the standard gravitational experimental tests.

Recently, a solution has been published for inhomogeneous gravitational collapse of a matter cloud with a general form of matter, which leads to a naked singularity¹³. The collapse is related to the choice of initial data for the Einstein field equations, and the naked singularity would occur in generic situations based on regular initial conditions satisfying the weak energy condition. This result would lead to the demise of EGT, for it represents a local violation of the Cauchy data for collapse; it would provide a strong motivation for seriously considering a classical gravity theory such as NGT with everywhere regular solutions of the field equations.

Because there is no event horizon in the static spherically symmetric solution, we can resolve the problem of information loss¹⁴ associated with black holes at a classical level.

Acknowledgements

I thank M. Clayton, N. J. Cornish, J. Légaré and P. Savaria for helpful and stimulating discussions. I thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for the support of this work.

References

1. J. W. Moffat, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 3554 (1979); D **19**, 3562 (1979); J. W. Moffat, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 1978 (1980); R. B. Mann and J. W. Moffat, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 1858 (1982); J. W. Moffat, Found. Phys. **14**, 1217 (1984); J. W. Moffat, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3733 (1987). For a review of NGT, see: J. W. Moffat, Proceedings of the Banff Summer Institute on Gravitation, Banff, Alberta, August 12-25, 1990, edited by R. Mann and P. Wesson, World Scientific, p.523, 1991.
2. A. Einstein, The Meaning of Relativity, Menthuen and Co. London, U.K. fifth edition, Appendix II, 1951. Einstein used the nonsymmetric field structure to derive a unified field theory of gravitation and electromagnetism. The nonsymmetric field equations cannot produce a consistent physical theory of electromagnetism. We use the nonsymmetric field structure to describe a non-Riemannian theory of gravitation.
3. R. B. Mann and J. W. Moffat, J. Phys. A **14**, 2367 (1981); *ibid*, **15**, 1055(E) (1982).
4. T. Damour, S. Deser and J. McCarthy, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1541 (1993).
5. J. W. Moffat, University of Toronto preprint, UTPT-94-28, gr-qc/ 9411006.
6. K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953 (1977); E. Sezgin and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 3269 (1980).
7. N. J. Cornish and J. W. Moffat, Phys. Letts. **336B**, 337 (1994); University of Toronto preprint, UTPT-94-08, 1994, gr-qc/9406007. To be published in J. Math. Phys.; I. Yu.Sokolov, University of Toronto preprint, UTPT-94-23, 1994.
8. P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B **60**, 478 (1973).
9. A. Papapetrou, Proc. Roy. Ir. Acad. Sec. A **52**, 69 (1948).
10. G. D. Birkhoff, Relativity and Modern Physics, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1923.
11. M. Wyman, Can. J. Math. **2**, 427 (1950).

12. N. J. Cornish, University of Toronto preprint, UTP-T-94-10, 1994, gr-qc/9405065; revised November, 1994.
13. P. S. Joshi and I. H. Dwivedi, Commun. Math. Phys. **146**, 333 (1992); to appear in Commun. Math. Phys. (1994); P. S. Joshi, Global Aspects in Gravitation and Cosmology, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
14. S. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 2460 (1976); Commun. Math. Phys. **87**, 395 (1982).